1820

13 February 1981

	MEMORANDUM FOR:	Director of Personnel Policy, Planning & Management	
	VIA:	Inspector General	
25X1	FROM:	Chief, Audit Staff	
	SUBJECT:	Audit of Government Employees Health Association, Inc. (GEHA)	
25X1	GEHA as of 31 D to begin about initial meeting discuss the aud you feel our au sibility for th other auditors.	dit Staff has scheduled an audit of ecember 1980. The audit is planned 1 April 1981. We will request an with you or your representative to it and to identify those areas where dit effect may be most beneficial. has been assigned overall response audit. He will be assisted by two indicate your concurrence by signing the original of this memorandum.	25X1
25X1		on discussion with and to reschedule the GEHA audit 1 June 1981.	25X1
	Director of Per Planning & M	rsonnel Policy, Date anagement	1
	Distribution: LOrig - Signa 1 - D/PPP 1 - O/Com	<u>M</u>	
	2 3, 33	• •	051/4

25X1

Approved For Release 2005/07/12 : CIA-RDP86-00964R000200070021-6

	ROUTING	3 AND	KECOK	D 2HEF1	M. THE MAINTING STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA		
SUBJECT: (Optional)	Audit of	CEUA				The second secon	
	Addit of	GEHA			70-57-5		
ROM:			EXTENSION	NO.	1820		
	f, Audit Staf	f		PATE	Triplescope and parties of triple unique can region on any	O COME A STATE OF THE STATE OF	S
120: (Officer designation, room nu	201 Key number, and DATE		1		annar ernadinyskylvu er My Milder vil vili Milder engen er va karja	generalismen i sa sumani ng 1947 mg. Pandah ika usan kika pindiga dingandakan dan panggan	
ouilding)	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Num to whom. Draw o			
1.		ب _غ ر 3*	The state of the s		The first and the comment of the artificial control of the comment	erner i a place miner i e se i mena ter i semente di rimidia dell'archi di dia primi sul dell'	
ΙG	Mina Mina	1/4	200				I
2.	(•			
∑D/PPPM- 5 E 1	3 Has		}	son C.	ncurun	g ^{er} Sminer	
3.			grandesternament of the second		1		
4,					6		Brandiched
N IPron 1	CP			30	مند	,	
DD IFFEM (3			N	- 0	0.	
	1		1	1 1 \	(Deal)	ZDARA .	1
•	1				14	_	1
•				60%	OD		
·	I		l		R.	ame	
"DD/SP - Ernie:	Any problem?	Also, ha	ave i+ ropor		D.0	ame	
in fully complif	ad with last vea	ris audi	It ICIOI	i sue	Just .	aure 7 -	
"DD/SP - Ernie: we fully complie I've yet to cont week. DD/PPPM'	ad with last vea	ris audi	It ICIOI	t sue	Just .	ame T mã	Ļ
we fully complied to continuous to continuous terms with the continuous terms with the continuous terms and the continuous terms are the continuous terms and the complications are the complications and the complications are the continuous terms are the continuous	ad with last vea	ris audi	It ICIOI	t sie	Due Just	me Tuè	¥.
we fully complied to continuous to the first term with the continuous first term with the first term with the continuous for th	ad with last vea	ris audi	It ICIOI	i suice	Quel des	T min	Ļ
we fully complied the five yet to cont	ad with last vea	ris audi	It ICIOI	t. sue	Jues Just	Y min	Ļ
we fully complied to continuous to the first term with the continuous first term with the first term with the continuous for th	ad with last vea	ris audi	It ICIOI	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100	July Loss Loss Loss	Tuit	X.
we fully complied the five yet to cont	ad with last vea	ris audi	It ICIOI	in since	Dreft Brown	Jui	.
we fully complied the five yet to cont	ad with last vea	ris audi	It ICIOI	Live Marine	Just Joseph Jack	Juin 1005	Å.
we fully complied the five yet to cont	ad with last vea	ris audi	It ICIOI	in size	Sul Sun	Juin 100-	
we fully complied to continuous to the first term with the continuous first term with the first term with the continuous for th	ad with last vea	ris audi	It ICIOI	Live MA ins ins	Sur Branch Stranger	Juin 1000	
we fully complient of the second week. DD/PPPM'	ad with last vea	ris audi	It ICIOI	eve eve eve eve eve eve eve eve	Los John John	Juin 1000	*
we fully complied in the second week. DD/PPPM'	ad with last vea	ris audi	It ICIOI	Selan Ollan	Super	Turing the second	
we fully complied five yet to contour week. DD/PPPM'	ad with last vea	ris audi	It ICIOI	eve 1000 1	Sold Sold Sold Sold Sold Sold Sold Sold	Juin 1000-	
we fully complied I've yet to contour week. DD/PPPM'	ad with last vea	ris audi	It ICIOI	eve one one one one one one one on	Sur Branch Stranger	Juin 1	
we fully complient of the property of the period of the pe	ad with last vea	ris audi	It ICIOI	Selen Will Will Will Will	Sunday of the su	The the	
we fully complied f've yet to contour week. DD/PPPM''	ad with last vea	ris audi	It ICIOI	eve eve eve eve ive eve eve eve	Sur Brown of the Sur	Juin 1	
we fully complient of the second week. DD/PPPM' week. DD/PPPM'.	ad with last vea	ris audi	It ICIOI	Selen Mill Mill Mill Mill Mill	Sur Branch & Comment of the Comment	The the	

POST OFFICE BOX 463 WASHINGTON, D. C. 20044

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

Mr. James L. Edwards, Chief Audits Division Office of Personnel Management Washington, D.C. 20415

Dear Mr. Edwards:

This is in response to your letter of January 13, 1981, concerning OPM's Final Audit Report E-80-005. We received that report and noted your request that we provide a response within 60 days of its receipt. However, I and members of my staff, in a meeting on October 29, 1980, discussed the audit findings with Mr. Kevin Burns, Assistant Director for Insurance Programs and Ms. Judy Cahill of the Employee Organization Plans Division, Insurance Programs. During this meeting Mr. Burns stated that the issue of the Underwriter's overwrite expense was to be the subject of further discussion within OPM, and that it was his intention to schedule a meeting on December 1, 1980, with you and Mr. MacWhorter to resolve this issue. We were also subsequently advised that it was not necessary to reply to the audit findings since they obviously were not final. A member of my staff has been in frequent contact with Mr. MacWhorter's office since December 1, 1980, to determine if this issue has been resolved. To date, we have been informed that no decision has been reached.

In view of this, I was surprised to receive your letter of January 13, 1981, advising that we were delinquent in our response. Since the issue of the Underwriter's overwrite expense is a critical matter having great impact on Mutual of Omaha and our Plan's financial position, I would appreciate some explanation of what has transpired on it since our meeting with Mr. Burns. At a minimum, I feel an extension is in order on our reply to your audit report if it is indeed OPM's final position. I would welcome the opportunity to discuss this issue with you and Mr. Burns. My telephone number is

Sincerely.

STAT

STAT

Jeha Audit Tile

IB Chrono

President

Opm Chrono

Distribution:
Original - Addressee

1 - DD/PPP&M

3 - IB

1 - BSD

DD/PPP&M/SP/BSD/IB

RETYPED DD/PPP&M/SP/BSD;

STAT

STAT

United States of America

Approved For Release 200 Office ORDP86-00964R000200070021-6 Personnel Management Washington, D.C. 20415

In Reply Refer To

Your Reference:

.13 JAN 1981

STAT

Government Employees Health Association, Inc. Post Office Box 463
Washington, D.C. 20044

STAT

Dear

On September 5, 1980 we issued our Final Audit Report E-80-005 covering the operations of your Plan for 1974 and 1975. In accordance with OPM regulation, as explained in the cover letter to the audit report, the Plan is permitted 60 days from the date of the report to provide a reply to the final audit findings. Further, in accordance with OMB regulations, OPM is required to issue a Contracting Officer's Final Decision on all unresolved audit findings no later than 6 months from the date of the Final report.

To date, we have not received your reply to the Final report and, therefore, we are unable to determine the extent to which the audit findings are unresolved.

We request that you provide your comments on the audit findings contained in the Final report within 15 days from the date of this letter. In the event that your comments are not received, we will make the following assumptions:

- that Plan concurrence with audit findings in the Draft report remain valid in cases where the finding remains substantially unchanged in the Final report,
- that appropriate corrective action, as suggested in the report, has been implemented where the Plan agreed with an audit finding presented in the Draft report,
- that where concurrence with monetary issues has been indicated, the Plan has credited the Program with the proper amounts,
- 4. that where the Final report contains audit findings that are substantially changed from those in the Draft report, the Plan concurs with the finding and
- 5. that expressed disagreement with an audit finding in the Draft report remains valid in cases where the finding remains substantially

Approved For Release 2005/07/12 : CIA-RDP86-00964R000200070021-6

unchanged in the Final report. Contracting Officer Final Decisions will be issued on these items within 6 months from the date of the Final report.

If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Richard Thomas at Area Code 202-254-8960.

incerely,

James L. Bawards, Audits Division

Addies Division

GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES HEALTH ASSOCIATION POST OFFICE BOX 463 WASHINGTON, D. C. 20044

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

Mr. James L. Edwards, Chief Audits Division Office of Personnel Management

Dear Mr. Edwards:

This is in response to your letter of January 13, 1981, concerning OPM's "FINAL" Audit Report E-80-005. We are in receipt of this report, and I am cognizant of the Audits Division, Insurance Program's request that we were to provide a response within 60 days of its receipt. However, I and members of my staff in a meeting on October 29, 1980, discussed the audit findings with Mr. Kevin Burns your Assistant Director for Insurance Programs and Ms. Judy Cahill of your Employee Organization Plans Division, Insurance Programs. During this meeting it was discovered that there were significant differences within OPM, specifically with the Audits Division and the Employee Organization Plans Division regarding the issue of the Underwriter's overwrite expense.

We were advised by Mr. Burns at this meeting that it was his intention to schedule a meeting on December 1, 1980, with you and Mr. MacWhorter to resolve this internal OPM issue. We were also subsequently advised not to reply to the audit findings since they obviously were not final. A member of my staff has been in frequent contact with Mr. MacWhorter's office since December 1, 1980, to determine if this issue has been resolved. To date, we have been informed that no decision has been reached.

Since your Audit Report E-80-005 does not appear to be the "final" audit findings, I believe it would be inappropriate for me at this time to provide you with comments on the audit findings. It is, therefore, requested that you advise me within 15 days from the date of this letter as to the final decision reached by OPM on the issue of the Underwriter's overwrite expense.

In as much as this report covers the years 1974 and 1975 which are respectively 7 and 6 years in the past, I am extremely interested in seeing that these audit findings are resolved in a timely manner and in the best interests of the Plan.

Approved For Rulease 2005/07/12 : CIA-RDP86-00964R000200070021-6

STAT	I would be happy to discuss this matter with you at your convenience. If such a meeting is desired, please contacton to make the necessary arrangements.	STAT
	Sincerely,	
		STAT
	President	

cc: Mr. Kevin Burns Mr. George MacWhorter